

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. Alexander Leybovich 020324 227P2 6818 10/624,384 07/22/2003 EXAMINER 12/20/2005 33805 7590 MCDONALD, RODNEY GLENN WEGMAN, HESSLER & VANDERBURG 6055 ROCKSIDE WOODS BOULEVARD PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** SUITE 200 1753 CLEVELAND, OH 44131

DATE MAILED: 12/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/624,384	LEYBOVICH, ALEXANDER	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Rodney G. McDonald	1753	

	Rodney G. McDonald	1753		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 November 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.		
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.	
extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee daye been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee ander 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, any reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). OTICE OF APPEAL				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	0001100	
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in below 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);		
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.		
		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)	
I. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. ☑ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): See Continuation Sheet.				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		II be entered and an e	explanation of	
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a	
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attact	ned.	
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. 			nce because:	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	Ω	
13.		Horley & fu	Andy	
		Rodney G. McDona Primary Examiner	ald 9	

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The 35 U.S.C. 112 rejections have been overcome based on Applicant's arguments..

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons set forth in the final rejection. It is believed that in Katsube a cloud of sputtered particles is being formed. Applicant's have previously argued that a cloud of sputtered particles is not formed in Katsube. Argument or opinion is not sufficient basis to overcome a prima facie case of obviousness what is needed is factual evidence. Also a "cloud of sputtered particles" could simply be the sum of the particles in the atmosphere depositing on the substrate.